

BISHOPSTOKE PARISH COUNCIL

Minutes of a Meeting of the Planning Committee held in the Parish Office, Riverside, Bishopstoke commencing at 7.00pm on 10 April 2018

Present: Cllrs Greenwood (Chair), Brown, Francis, Thornton (from para 5), Tidridge and Toher

In Attendance: Mr D Hillier-Wheal (Clerk to Bishopstoke Parish Council)

Public Attendance: 0 members of the public were present

PLAN_1819_M01/

Public Session

1 Apologies for Absence

1.1 Apologies had been received and were accepted from Cllr Dean.

2 To adopt as a true record, and sign, the Minutes of the Planning Committee meeting held on 27 March 2018

2.1 The Minutes of the above meeting had been circulated prior to the meeting.

2.2 Proposed Cllr Toher, Seconded Cllr Brown, **RESOLVED** unanimously that the minutes of the Planning Committee meeting held on 27 March 2018 be accepted as a true record.

3 To consider Matters Arising from the above Minutes

3.1 Cllr Brown asked whether there had been a response to the Committee's question regarding why a dropped kerb application had come to them. The Clerk replied that there had not.

4 Declarations of Interest and Requests for Dispensations

4.1 Cllr Greenwood declared a pecuniary interest in application F/18/82686.

Cllr Thornton arrived at this point.

5 Consideration of Planning Applications

5.1 T/18/82690 – Orchard Gardens Care Home, 1 Garnier Drive – Various work to the following trees: T15 – Silver Birch; T19 – Oak; T20 – Oak; T64 – Norway Maple – The Committee wished to object on the grounds that the promised evidence from a tree expert had not been produced, and that they believed there should have been an application for the garden itself, not simply work affecting trees. In principle the Committee would have no objection to the idea of a dementia garden.

Cllr Brown took over Chairing the meeting for the next item

5.2 F/18/82686 – 282 Fair Oak Road – Construction of one three bed dwelling following demolition of existing single storey side extension – The Committee agreed to Raise No objection to the application but wished to add a comment to the effect that if the officer is minded to reject the application, the Committee would prefer any future application to be a single storey. This would lead to less appearance of over-development and less loss of light for the original dwelling on site.

Initial: _____ Date: _____

6 Report on recent planning decision

6.1 H/18/82457 – 175 Fair Oak Road – Single storey side and rear extension, replacement front entrance porch and hip to gable roof enlargement including a rear dormer window – the Committee agreed to Raise No Objection to the application – the Borough Council permitted the application.

6.2 F/18/82515 – 72 Stoke Common Road – Construction of 2 bed bungalow, variation to approved planning application F/17/80922 – The Committee had no objection but wished to reiterate that there should be no direct access to the allotment from the plot – the Borough Council permitted the application.

6.3 H/18/82395 – 6 Saville Close – Two storey side extension to include habitable accommodation in loft space at first floor – The Committee had several objections to this application: they consider it to be overdevelopment; the extra floor in the application renders it no longer in keeping with the surrounding area; a second floor brings the danger of overlooking the neighbouring property, and with it a loss of privacy and light and finally there are two rooms proposed in the loft space, both of which could be used as bedrooms, and so there would be insufficient parking. If this application is passed the Parish Council believes it would set an unwanted precedent and detract from the local green space. In that case the Council requests a minimum of the same conditions as for the original, single storey, application – the Borough Council refused the application.

7 Clerk's Report

7.1 There was nothing further to add.

8 Date, time, place and agenda items for next meeting

8.1 The next meeting will be on Tuesday 24 April 2018, at 7:00pm. The doors will be open at 6:45pm for viewing of applications.

8.2 Any agenda items should be submitted in writing to the Clerk by Monday 23rd April..

9 Motion for Confidential Business

9.1 Proposed Cllr Greenwood, Seconded Cllr Toher, **RESOLVED** unanimously that in view of the confidential nature of the business about to be discussed relating to possible breaches of planning regulation it is advisable in the public interest that the public be excluded and for the record the business be regarded as confidential.

10 Reported Breaches of Development Control (Confidential Business)

10.1 The Clerk reported three new alleged breaches of Development Control.

10.2 The Clerk reported one concluded breach of Development Control.

10.3 Councillors reported no development control issues.

There being no further business, the Chair closed the meeting at 7.36pm

Chair's Signature: _____ Date: _____

Clerk's Signature: _____ Date: _____