

BISHOPSTOKE PARISH COUNCIL

Minutes of a Meeting of the Planning Committee held in the Parish Office, Riverside, Bishopstoke commencing at 7.00pm on 8 May 2018

- Present:** Cllrs Greenwood (Chair), Brown, Dean and Toher
- In Attendance:** Mr D Hillier-Wheal (Clerk to Bishopstoke Parish Council)
Cllr Daly
- Public Attendance:** 0 members of the public were present

PLAN_1819_M02/

Public Session

21 Apologies for Absence

- 21.1 Apologies had been received and were accepted from Cllrs Francis and Thornton.
- 21.2 Cllr Tidridge had stepped down from the Committee as she is now a member of the Eastleigh Borough Council Local Area Committee.

22 To adopt as a true record, and sign, the Minutes of the Planning Committee meeting held on 24 April 2018

- 22.1 The Minutes of the above meeting had been circulated prior to the meeting.
- 22.2 Proposed Cllr Toher, Seconded Cllr Brown, **RESOLVED** that the minutes of the Planning Committee meeting held on 24 April 2018 be accepted as a true record.

23 To consider Matters Arising from the above Minutes

- 23.1 There were no matters arising.

24 Declarations of Interest and Requests for Dispensations

- 24.1 There were no declarations or requests.

25 Consideration of Planning Applications

- 25.1 H/18/82643 – 13 Hartley Road – Addition of first floor two storey side extension and front and rear dormers – The Committee agreed to Raise No Objection (RNO) to the application.
- 25.2 H/18/82653 – 23 Longmead Avenue – First floor side and rear extension – The Committee agreed to RNO to the application.
- 25.3 H/18/82881 – 48 Stoke Common Road – Single storey side / rear extension – The Committee agree to RNO to the application.

26 Report on recent planning decision

- 26.1 T/18/82543 – 10 Walter Lane – Various tree works (details within arboricultural tree risk management report) – The Planning Committee were surprised to find this application from Bishopstoke Park attached to a single address on site and believe this could be seen as misleading. No

objection was raised to the proposed tree works, but the Committee requested the work take place after the nesting season. Additionally, the Clerk was requested to ascertain whether the Borough Council's 2 for 1 tree replacement programme applies to all applications, or merely to Council owned trees – The Borough Council decided to Part Consent / Part Refuse the application. Work on 3 Oak trees was refused but the remaining work was allowed.

26.2 T/18/82634 – 88 Spring Lane – 1 x Holm Oak, reduce to 2-3m all round to previous reduction points – The Committee agreed to Raise No Objection to the application but request that the work take place outside the nesting season – The Borough Council decided to refuse the all round reduction, but allow it on lateral branches only.

26.3 T/18/82633 – 1 Spring Lane – 1 x Yew tree, crown raise minor growth over public footpath using hedge cutter - The Committee agreed to Raise No Objection to the application but request that the work take place outside the nesting season – The Borough Council Consented to the application.

27 To comment on potential names for the Church Road Bargate development

27.1 The Committee felt that the reason the developer gave for not using Cook Close as the name was not justified. Accordingly, it was agreed to suggest Cook Close again, adding that the Parish Council were happy to have it known that the name was chosen by the Council. Additionally, the Committee also agreed to suggest the names Cottrill and Rose, both of whom were Rectors at St Mary's, and Jackson, who for many years was a Curate at St Mary's.

Action: Clerk

28 Clerk's Report

28.1 The Clerk informed the Committee that, following conversations with the internal auditor, alternate methods of recording confidential business would be put before the Committee at the next meeting.

29 Date, time, place and agenda items for next meeting

29.1 The next meeting will be on Tuesday 5 June 2018, at 7:00pm. The doors will be open at 6:45pm for viewing of applications.

29.2 Any agenda items should be submitted in writing to the Clerk by Monday 28th May..

30 Motion for Confidential Business

30.1 Proposed Cllr Greenwood, Seconded Cllr Toher, **RESOLVED** unanimously that in view of the confidential nature of the business about to be discussed relating to possible breaches of planning regulation it is advisable in the public interest that the public be excluded and for the record the business be regarded as confidential.

31 Reported Breaches of Development Control (Confidential Business)

31.1 The Clerk reported one new alleged breach of Development Control.

31.2 The Clerk reported three concluded breaches of Development Control.

31.3 Cllrs reported no additional confidential business

There being no further business, the Chair closed the meeting at 7.21pm

Chair's Signature: _____ Date: _____

Clerk's Signature: _____ Date: _____